BIBLE TEACHING

School		Stu	dent's Name	e			
Subject of Lesson			****	***************************************			
FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE			COM	MENTS		
APPROPRIATE TO AGE LEVEL (Junior Ages 9-12)	(10)						
DELIVERY — maintained audience attention — grammar/diction — use of voice — pitch — projection — sincerity — volume	(10)						
ORGANIZATION - introduction - divisions - transitions - conclusion - lesson outline well organized	(10)						
CLARITY OF THOUGHT — explained the Bible passage clearly and accurately	(10)						
PRESENTATION/COMPOSURE - use of body - posture - gesture - eye contact - facial expression - confidence and sincerity	(10)						
APPLICATION — applied lesson correctly and forcefully	(10)	-					
VISUAL AIDS — creativity — enhance the message	(10)						
Total:		Rating:					
Time				***************************************	Judge	's Signatu	re
POINT SCALE				POII	NT TOTALS	.	
SUPERIOR ABOVE AVERAGE AVER 10 - 9 9-8 7-6			1st SUPERIOR 70 - 66	1 RATING 65 - 56	2 RATING 55 - 41	3 RATING 40 - 36	4 RATING 36 - 0

BIBLE PREACHING

School		Stude	nt's Name	e			
Text of Lesson		Title					
FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE			CC	DMMENTS		
CONTENT AND ORGANIZATION							
introduction (leads appropriately into theme, gains attention from audience)	(10)						
theme (clear Scriptural theme established, sermon properly narrowed down)	(10)						
explanation/interpretation (appropriate, accurate explanation of Scripture and principles presented)	(10)						
application/illustration (forceful exhortation, application, and illustration of Scriptural truth presented)	(10)						
Development (supporting points clearly and logically develop theme, conclusion consistent with theme and development, written outline in proper format)	(10)						
PRESENTATION							
vitality ("life" in face, body, and voice)	(10)						
eye contact, empathy, naturalness (direct visual and mental contact with audience; natural speech, without affectation or artificial mannerisms)	(10)						
poise/authority (sense of composure, assurance, and authority)	(10)						
voice/diction/grammar (clearly audible, words understandable, acceptable grammar)	(10)						
emphasis/variety (stress on key ideas through appropriate use of volume, pitch, rate, and climax)	(10)						
Total:		Rating:					
Time						Judge's Si	gnature
POINT SCALE				DOIN	IT TOTALS		
	RAGE PO	OR 1st CII	PERIOR 1		2 RATING	3 RATING	4 RATING
	'-6 5-	1 2 22		95 - 86	85 - 71	70 - 56	55 - 0

VOCAL SOLO

Classification JH 7-9 SH 10-12 (circle) Male Female

School		Student	's Name	
Composition			Composer _	
FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE		,	COMMENTS
PRESENTATION — poise — communication — facial expression — posture	(20)			
TECHNIQUE - breath - free from jaw, neck, & body tension - tone - vitality	(20)			
MUSICIANSHIP - phrasing - contrast mood - contrast dynamics	(20)			
ACCURACY — rhythm — pitch	(20)			
DICTION — audible consonants — enunciation — articulation	(10)			
SELECTION/MEMORIZATION — appropriateness — difficulty	(10)	-		
Total:		Rating:		
Time				Judge's Signature
POINT SCALE				POINT TOTALS
	AVERAGE		uperior 1 RAT	

VOCAL DUET/TRIO

omposition			Comp	oser			
FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE	T		COM	MENTS		
PRESENTATION — poise — communication — facial expression — posture	(20)						
TECHNIQUE — breath — free from jaw, neck, & body tension — tone — vitality	(20)						
MUSICIANSHIP - phrasing - contrast mood - contrast dynamics	(20)						
ACCURACY - rhythm - pitch - balance of parts - blend	(20)						
DICTION — audible consonants — enunciation — articulation	(10)						
SELECTION/MEMORIZATION - appropriateness - difficulty	(10)	_					
Total:		Rating:					
Time				Manager Stranger Control	Judge	's Signatu	re

SMALL VOCAL ENSEMBLE

			сопр	0361			
FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE			COMM	NENTS		
PRESENTATION - poise - communication - facial expression - posture	(20)						
FECHNIQUE - breath - free from jaw, neck, & body tension - tone - vitality	(20)						
AUSICIANSHIP - phrasing - contrast mood - contrast dynamics	(20)						
ACCURACY - rhythm - pitch - balance of parts - blend	(20)						
DICTION - audible consonants - enunciation - articulation	(10)						
SELECTION/MEMORIZATION - appropriateness - difficulty	(10)						
Tota	1:	Rating:					
Time					Judge	e's Signatu	ıre

LARGE VOCAL ENSEMBLE

School							
Group Name as appeared on En	try Form _		W				
Composition			Composer				
FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE		С	OMMENTS			
PRESENTATION — poise — communication — facial expression — posture	(20)						
TECHNIQUE — breath — free from jaw, neck, & body tension — tone — vitality	(20)						
MUSICIANSHIP — phrasing — contrast mood — contrast dynamics	(20)						
ACCURACY — rhythm — pitch — balance of parts — blend	(20)						
DICTION — audible consonants — enunciation — articulation	(10)						
SELECTION/MEMORIZATION — appropriateness — difficulty	(10)						
Total:		Rating:					
Time			-	Judge's Signature			
POINT SCALE				POINT TOTALS			

CHOIR

School	Student's Name
Composition	Composer

		Τ	
FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE		 COMMENTS
PRESENTATION — poise — communication — facial expression — posture	(10)		
TECHNIQUE — breath — free from jaw, neck, & body tension — tone — vitality	(20)		
MUSICIANSHIP — phrasing — contrast mood — contrast dynamics	(20)		
ACCURACY — rhythm — pitch — balance of parts — blend	(20)		
DICTION — audible consonants — enunciation — articulation	(20)		
SELECTION/MEMORIZATION — appropriateness — difficulty	(10)		
Total:		Rating:	
Time			Judge's Signature

POINT SCALE	POINT TOTALS

SUPERIOR	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	POOR	1st Superior	1 RATING	2 RATING	3 RATING	4 RATING
20-19/10-9	19-17/9-8	16-13/7-6	12-0/5-0	100 - 96	95 - 86	85 - 71	70 - 56	55 - 0

SMALL CHOIR

omposition			Composer			
FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE		COMMENTS			
PRESENTATION — poise — communication — facial expression — posture	(20)					
TECHNIQUE — breath — free from jaw, neck, & body tension — tone — vitality	(20)					
MUSICIANSHIP — phrasing — contrast mood — contrast dynamics	(20)					
ACCURACY — rhythm — pitch — balance of parts — blend	(20)					
DICTION — audible consonants — enunciation — articulation	(10)					
SELECTION/MEMORIZATION — appropriateness — difficulty	(10)	_				
Total:		Rating:				
Time			Judge's S	ignature		

INSTRUMENTAL BRASS SOLO

School		Stu	dent's Name _				
Instrument			Years	Played			
Composition			Composer				
FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE			COMMENT	ΓS		
TONE — quality — control — vibrato — pitch accuracy	(20)						
ARTICULATION - attacks and releases - slurring - note accuracy - rhythmic accuracy - breathing	(20)						
INTERPRETATION — tempo — phrasing — dynamics — fluency	(20)						
SELECTION — difficulty — appropriateness	(20)						
PRESENTATION — appearance — poise — stage presence — posture	(20)						
Total:		Rating:					
Time					Judge's	Signature	?
POINT SO					INT TOTA		
SUPERIOR ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	POOR 12-0	1st Superior	1 RATING	2 RATING 85 - 71	3 RATING 70 - 56	4 RATING

INSTRUMENTAL WOODWIND SOLO

School		Stu	dent's Name						
Instrument			Years I	Played					
Composition	Composer								
FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE			COMMENT	-S				
TONE — quality — control — vibrato — pitch accuracy	(20)								
ARTICULATION - attacks and releases - slurring - note accuracy - rhythmic accuracy - breathing	(20)								
INTERPRETATION — tempo — phrasing — dynamics — fluency	(20)								
SELECTION — difficulty — appropriateness	(20)								
PRESENTATION - appearance - poise - stage presence - posture	(20)								
Total:		Rating:							
Time					Judge's	Signature			
POINT SO	CALE			PO	INT TOTAL	LS			
SUPERIOR ABOVE AVERAGE 20-19 19-17		POOR 12-0	1 st Superior 100 - 96	1 RATING 95 - 86	2 RATING 85 - 71	3 RATING 70 - 56	4 RATING 55 - 0		

INSTRUMENTAL PERCUSSION SOLO

School	-		Stu	ıden	t's Name				
Instrumen	t				Years	Played		,	
Compositi	on		Composer						
FACTOR	S EVALUATED	SCORE				COMMENT	·S		
ACCURAC — notes — rhythm	ΣΥ	(20)							
TECHNIQ — grip — stroke a — follow t	ccuracy	(20)							
INTERPRI — phrasing — fluency — tempo — dynamic		(20)							
PRESENT — appeara — poise — stage pr — posture	nce	(20)							
SELECTIC — appropr — difficult	ateness	(20)							
	Total:		Rating:						
Time							Judge's	Signature	
	POINT SCA	LE				PC	OINT TOTA	J.S	
SUPERIOR 20-19/10-9	ABOVE AVERAGE 19-17/9-8	AVERAGE 16-13/7-6	POOR 12-0/5-0		1 st Superior 100 - 96	1 RATING 95 - 86	2 RATING 85 - 71	3 RATING 70 - 56	4 RATING 55 - 0

INSTRUMENTAL STRING SOLO

School	······································	Student's Name
nstrument		Years Played
Composition		Composer
FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE	COMMENTS
INTONATION — pitch accuracy	(20)	
TONE PRODUCTION — quality	(10)	
ACCURACY — notes — rhythm	(10)	
INTERPRETATION — phrasing — tempo — dynamics	(20)	
TECHNIQUE — posture — fingering — bowing/strumming — left hand — articulation	(20)	
PRESENTATION - appearance - poise - stage presence	(10)	
SELECTION — appropriateness — difficulty	(10)	
Total:	MAS SECTION AND AN AND AND	Rating:
Time		Judge's Signature
POINT SC	ALE	POINT TOTALS

INSTRUMENTAL STRING DUET/TRIO

School		Stu	dent'	's Names		•		
Composition				Compo	ser			
FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE				COMMENT	ΓS		
INTONATION — pitch accuracy	(20)							
TONE PRODUCTION — quality	(10)							
ACCURACY – notes – rhythm	(20)							
INTERPRETATION — phrasing — tempo — dynamics	(10)							
TECHNIQUE — posture — fingering — bowing/strumming — left hand — articulation	(10)							
ENSEMBLE — balance — blend — togetherness	(10)							
PRESENTATION - appearance - poise - stage presence	(10)							
SELECTION — appropriateness — difficulty	(10)				_			
Total:		Rating:						
Time					-	Judge's	Signature	
POINT SCA	\LE				PC	OINT TOTA	ALS	
SUPERIOR ABOVE AVERAGE 0-19/10-9 19-17/9-8	AVERAGE 16-13/7-6	POOR 12-0/5-0		1 st Superior 100 - 96	1 RATING 95 - 86	2 RATING 85 - 71	3 RATING 70 - 56	4 RATING 55 - 0

INSTRUMENTAL SMALL STRINGS ENSEMBLE

School				Olassiii	oation (one	10, 011 7 0	010
Group Name as appeared o	on Entry Fo	rm					
Composition			Compo	oser			
FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE			COMMEN	ΓS		
INTONATION — pitch accuracy	(20)						
TONE PRODUCTION — quality	(10)	-					
ACCURACY - notes - rhythm	(20)	-					
INTERPRETATION - phrasing - tempo - dynamics	(10)	-					
TECHNIQUE - posture - fingering - bowing/strumming - left hand - articulation	(10)						
ENSEMBLE — balance — blend — togetherness	(10)						
PRESENTATION - appearance - poise - stage presence	(10)						
SELECTION — appropriateness — difficulty	(10)						
Total:		Rating:					
Time POINT SC	ΔIF				Judge's	Signature	?
SUPERIOR ABOVE AVERAGE 20-19/10-9 19-17/9-8		POOR 12-0/5-0	1 st Superior 100 - 96	1 RATING 95 - 86	2 RATING 85 - 71	3 RATING 70 - 56	4 RATING 55 - 0

INSTRUMENTAL LARGE STRINGS ENSEMBLE

Classification (Circle) JH 7-9 SH 10-12 School Group Name as appeared on Entry Form_____ Composition _____ Composer _____ **FACTORS EVALUATED SCORE COMMENTS** INTONATION (20)- pitch accuracy (10)TONE PRODUCTION - quality (20)**ACCURACY** notes - rhythm (10)**INTERPRETATION** - phrasing tempo - dynamics (10)**TECHNIQUE** posture fingering bowing/strumming left hand articulation (10)**ENSEMBLE** balance blend togetherness (10)**PRESENTATION** appearance - poise - stage presence (10)SELECTION - appropriateness difficulty Rating: Total: Time Judge's Signature POINT SCALE **POINT TOTALS** 1st Superior 1 RATING 2 RATING 3 RATING 4 RATING **SUPERIOR** ABOVE AVERAGE AVERAGE POOR

100 - 96

95 - 86

85 - 71

19-17/9-8

16-13/7-6 12-0/5-0

20-19/10-9

55 - 0

70 - 56

INSTRUMENTAL DUET/TRIO Classification (Circle) JH 7-9 SH 10-12 School _____ Group Name as appeared on Entry Form ______ Composition ______ Composer _____ **FACTORS EVALUATED** SCORE COMMENTS (20)TONE quality control - intonation (20)INTERPRETATION phrasing fluency tempo dynamics - style **ARTICULATION** (20) attacks and releases slurring note accuracyrhythmic accuracy (10)**PRESENTATION** appearance poise stage presence posture ENSEMBLE (20)balance blend togetherness (10)**SELECTION** appropriateness difficulty Total: Rating: Time Judge's Signature

TOINT SCALE						- FOI	N IOIAL		
SUPERIOR	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	POOR		1st Superior	1 RATING	2 RATING	3 RATING	4 RATING
20-19/10-9	19-17/9-8	16-13/7-6	12-0/5-0		100 - 96	95 - 86	85 - 71	70 - 56	55 - 0

DOINT TOTALS

DOINT SCALE

INSTRUMENTAL SMALL ENSEMBLE Classification (Circle) JH 7-9 SH 10-12 School _____ Group Name as appeared on Entry Form ______ Composition ______ Composer _____ **SCORE FACTORS EVALUATED COMMENTS** (20)TONE quality control - intonation (20)INTERPRETATION - phrasing - fluency - tempo - dynamics - style (20)ARTICULATION attacks and releases - slurring - note accuracy - rhythmic accuracy **PRESENTATION** (10)appearance poise stage presence posture **ENSEMBLE** (20)balance blend - togetherness

Total: Rating:

Judge's Signature

(10)

SELECTION

appropriatenessdifficulty

Time

 POINT SCALE
 POINT TOTALS

 SUPERIOR
 ABOVE AVERAGE
 AVERAGE
 POOR
 1st Superior
 1 RATING
 2 RATING
 3 RATING
 4 RATING

 20-19/10-9
 19-17/9-8
 16-13/7-6
 12-0/5-0
 100 - 96
 95 - 86
 85 - 71
 70 - 56
 55 - 0

INSTRUMENTAL LARGE ENSEMBLE

Classification (Circle) JH 7-9 SH 10-12
School _____

Group Name as appeared on Entry Form ______

Composition	Composer	
	composer	

FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE	COMMENTS
TONE — quality — control — intonation	(20)	
INTERPRETATION - phrasing - fluency - tempo - dynamics - style	(20)	
ARTICULATION — attacks and releases — slurring — note accuracy — rhythmic accuracy	(20)	
PRESENTATION - appearance - poise - stage presence - posture	(10)	
ENSEMBLE — balance — blend — togetherness	(20)	
SELECTION — appropriateness — difficulty	(10)	
Total:		Rating:

Time Judge's Signature

POINT SCALE				POI	NT TOTAL	.S		
SUPERIOR	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	POOR	1 st Superior	1 RATING	2 RATING	3 RATING	4 RATING
20-19/10-9	19-17/9-8	16-13/7-6	12-0/5-0	100 - 96	95 - 86	85 - 71	70 - 56	55 - 0

BAND/ORCHESTRA

School		
Group Name as appeared o	on Entry For	rm
Composition		Composer
FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE	COMMENTS
TONE — quality — control — intonation	(20)	
INTERPRETATION — phrasing — fluency — tempo — dynamics — style	(20)	
ARTICULATION — attacks and releases — slurring — note accuracy — rhythmic accuracy	(20)	
PRESENTATION - appearance - poise - stage presence - posture	(10)	
ENSEMBLE — balance — blend — togetherness	(20)	
SELECTION — appropriateness — difficulty	(10)	
Total:		Rating:
Time		Judge's Signature
POINT SCA	ALE_	POINT TOTALS

20-19/10-9 19-17/9-8 16-13/7-6 12-0/5-0 | 100 - 96 95 - 86 85 - 71 70 - 56 55 - 0

PIANO SOLO

Classification (circle) JH 7-9 SH 10-12

School		Student's Name
Composition	····	Composer
Years Played		
FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE	COMMENTS
PERFORMANCE — musical effect — poise — difficulty/choice of selection	(10)	
INTERPRETATION — dynamics — contrast & phrasing — styling/mood — balance/voicing	(20)	
MUSICIANSHIP — feeling for meter — steadiness — tempo — expression/feeling — range of dynamics	(20)	
ACCURACY — note accuracy — rhythm accuracy — fluency — continuity — dynamics/tempo indications	(20)	
TECHNIQUE - coordination - fingering - posture (body/hand position) - touch/pedaling - tone quality	(20)	
MEMORIZATION OR SLIPS AND RECOVERIES	(10)	
Total:		Rating:
Time		Judge's Signature
POINT SCAL SUPERIOR ABOVE AVERAGE	_E AVERAGE	POINT TOTALS POOR 1st SUPERIOR 1 RATING 2 RATING 3 RATING 4 RATING

20-19/10-9 19-17/9-8 16-13/7-6 12-0/5-0 100 - 96 95 - 86 85 - 71 70 - 56 55 - 0

ORGAN SOLO

Classification (circle) JH 7-9 SH 10-12

School		Student's Name
Composition		Composer
ears Played		
FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE	COMMENTS
PERFORMANCE — stage presence — poise — difficulty/choice of selection	(10)	
INTERPRETATION — dynamics — correctness of style/mood — appropriate tempo — registration (balance, variety)	(20)	
MUSICIANSHIP — tempo — rhythm — balance — expression/feeling — fluency — continuity	(20)	
TECHNIQUE - coordination of keyboard with pedal board - position (hands, body, fingers) - articulation (staccato, legato) - facility with keyboard - facility with pedalboard	(20)	
ACCURACY — note accuracy — rhythm accuracy — dynamics/tempo indications	(20)	
MEMORIZATION	(10)	
Total:		Rating:
Time		Judge's Signature
POINT SCAL SUPERIOR ABOVE AVERAGE	.E AVERAGE	POINT TOTALS POOR 1st SUPERIOR 1 RATING 2 RATING 3 RATING 4 RATIN

20-19/10-9 19-17/9-8 16-13/7-6 12-0/5-0 | 100 - 96 95 - 86 85 - 71 70 - 56 55 - 0

PIANO/ORGAN DUET

School		Student's	Names		tion (circle)		
Composition			compos	ser			
FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE			COMME	ENTS		
PERFORMANCE — musical effect — appropriateness — difficulty/choice of selection — poise	(20)						
INTERPRETATION — dynamics — contrast & phrasing — styling/mood	(20)						
MUSICIANSHIP - tempo - rhythm - balance between instruments - expression/feeling - range of dynamics	(20)						
TECHNIQUE - coordination between instruments - fingering - posture (body/hand position) - touch/pedaling	(20)						
ACCURACY — note accuracy — rhythm accuracy — dynamics/tempo indications — fluency — continuity	(20)						
Total:		Rating	:				
Time				-	Judge	's Signatu	re
POINT SCA	\ F			DOIN	IT TOTALS		
SUPERIOR ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	POOR	1st SUPERIOR	1 RATING	2 RATING	3 RATING	4 RATING
20-19/10-9 19-17/9-8	16-13/7-6	12-0/5-0	100 - 96	95 - 86	85 - 71	70 - 56	55 - 0

PIANO DUET

School		_ Student's Names
Composition		Composer
FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE	COMMENTS
PERFORMANCE — musical effect — appropriateness — difficulty/choice of selection — poise	(20)	
INTERPRETATION - dynamics - contrast & phrasing - styling/mood	(20)	
MUSICIANSHIP — tempo — rhythm — balance between instruments — expression/feeling — range of dynamics	(20)	
TECHNIQUE — coordination — fingering — posture (body/hand position) — touch/pedaling	(20)	
ACCURACY — note accuracy — rhythm accuracy — dynamics/tempo indications — fluency — continuity	(20)	
Total:		Rating:
Time		Judge's Signature
POINT SCA SUPERIOR ABOVE AVERAGE	LE AVERAGE	POOR 1st SUPERIOR 1 RATING 2 RATING 3 RATING 4 RATING
20-10/10-0 10-17/0-8	16-13/7-6	12-0/5-0 100 06 05 96 95 71 70 56 55 0

HANDBELL/HANDCHIME ENSEMBLE

Classification (Circle) JH 7-9 SH 10-12
School _____

Group Name as appeared on Entry Form______

Composition _____ Composer ____

- damping - stopped sounds (pluck, martellato, RT, etc.) - non-stopped sounds (legato ringing, LV, shake, etc.) ACCURACY - note accuracy - rhythmic accuracy - note and accuracy - rhythmic accuracy INTERPRETATION - tempo - phrasing - dynamics ENSEMBLE - balance - precision MUSICIANSHIP - mood - expression PRESENTATION - appearance/visual effect - poise/stage presence SELECTION - appropriateness - difficulty I(10) - appropriateness - difficulty	FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE	COMMENTS
- note accuracy - rhythmic accuracy INTERPRETATION - tempo - phrasing - dynamics ENSEMBLE - balance - precision MUSICIANSHIP - mood - expression (10) PRESENTATION - appearance/visual effect - poise/stage presence SELECTION - appropriateness - difficulty (10)	stopped sounds(pluck, martellato, RT, etc.)non-stopped sounds (legato	(10)	
 tempo phrasing dynamics ENSEMBLE balance precision MUSICIANSHIP mood expression PRESENTATION appearance/visual effect poise/stage presence SELECTION appropriateness difficulty (10) 10)	note accuracy	(20)	
- balance - precision MUSICIANSHIP - mood - expression PRESENTATION - appearance/visual effect - poise/stage presence SELECTION - appropriateness - difficulty (10) (10) (10)	tempophrasing	(20)	
- mood - expression PRESENTATION - appearance/visual effect - poise/stage presence SELECTION - appropriateness - difficulty (10)	— balance	(20)	
 appearance/visual effect poise/stage presence SELECTION appropriateness difficulty 	— mood	(10)	
appropriatenessdifficulty	appearance/visual effect	(10)	
Total: Rating:	appropriateness	(10)	
	Total:	Rating:	

 POINT SCALE
 POINT TOTALS

 SUPERIOR
 ABOVE AVERAGE
 AVERAGE
 POOR
 1st Superior
 1 RATING
 2 RATING
 3 RATING
 4 RATING

 20-19/10-9
 19-17/9-8
 16-13/7-6
 12-0/5-0
 100 - 96
 95 - 86
 85 - 71
 70 - 56
 55 - 0

HANDBELL/HANDCHIME CHOIR

School				Classific	ation (Circ	le) JH 7-9	SH 10-12
Group Name as appeared on	Entry Forn	n					
Composition			Compo	ser			
FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE			COMMEN	ITS		
TECHNIQUE/ARTICULATION - damping - stopped sounds (pluck, martellato, RT, etc.) - non-stopped sounds (legato ringing, LV, shake, etc.)	(10)						
ACCURACY — note accuracy — rhythmic accuracy	(20)						
INTERPRETATION - tempo - phrasing - dynamics	(20)						
ENSEMBLE — balance — precision	(20)						
MUSICIANSHIP — mood — expression	(10)	_					
PRESENTATION — appearance/visual effect — poise/stage presence	(10)	-					
SELECTION — appropriateness — difficulty	(10)						
Total:		Rating:					
Time					Judge's	Signature	
POINT SCALI					NT TOTA		
SUPERIOR ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	POOR	1 st Superior	1 RATING	2 RATING	3 RATING	4 RATING

20-19/10-9 19-17/9-8 16-13/7-6 12-0/5-0 100 - 96 95 - 86 85 - 71 70 - 56 55 - 0

SPEECH

Classification (Circle) JH 7-9 SH 10-12

CATEGORY (Circle One) ORAL INTERPRETATION OF SCRIPTURE

ORAL INTERPRETATION OF POETRY

RELIGIOUS READING

DECLAMATION HUMOROUS INTERPRETATION DRAMATIC INTERPRETATION

School______ Student's Name_____

FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE		COMMENTS
MEMORIZATION - knowledge of material - hesitation or confusion - necessity for prompting	(10)		
SELECTION — tasteful — proper identification of author — meets category requirements	(10)		
DICTION — fluency/articulation — pronunciation — enunciation — voice projection — general pitch level — quality of voice	(10)		
COMMUNICATION — mental rapport with audience — eye contact — ability to hold attention	(10)		
USE OF BODY — posture — bodily movement/gestures — facial expressions — stage presence — distracting mannerisms	(10)		
INTERPRETATION - style - comprehension and proper emphasis - creation of mood - phrasing - contrast - expression - spiritual or emotional effect	(10)		
OVERALL EFFECTIVENESS — proficiency of delivery — personal appearance — poise — organization of thought	(10)		
Total:		Rating:	

Judge's Signature Time **POINT SCALE POINT TOTALS** SUPERIOR ABOVE AVERAGE AVERAGE POOR 1st SUPERIOR 1 RATING 2 RATING 3 RATING 4 RATING 10 - 9 9 - 8 7-6 40 - 36 36 - 0 5-0 70 - 66 65 - 56 55 - 41

SPEECH **ORIGINAL PERSUASIVE ORATORY**

School	Classification (Cir	cle) JH 7-9	SH 10-1

Title of Performance Student's Name **SCORE FACTORS EVALUATED COMMENTS** MEMORIZATION "The largely (10)memorized oral presentation..." (See SLCS Fine Arts Manual) knowledge of material hesitation or confusion necessity for prompting (10)**SELECTION** Originality: - positive approach - use of imagination - individualistic approach human interest appeal Content: - relates to subject - logical development of ideas clarity of ideas tasteful - meets category requirements DICTION (10) fluency/articulation - pronunciation/enunciation - voice projection - general pitch level - quality of voice COMMUNICATION (10) mental rapport with audience eye contact - ability to hold attention **USE OF BODY** (10)posture bodily movement/gestures facial expressions stage presence - distracting mannerisms (10)**INTERPRETATION** style - proper emphasis - creation of mood phrasing/contrast - expression/sincerity of tone **OVERALL EFFECTIVENESS** (10)- proficiency of delivery - personal appearance - poise organization of thought Total: Rating: Time Judge's Signature

	POINT SCAL	E	-	POINT TOTALS					
SUPERIOR	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	POOR	1st SUPERIOR	1 RATING	2 RATING	3 RATING	4 RATING	
10 - 9	9-8	7-6	5-0	70 - 66	65 - 56	55 - 41	40 - 36	36 - 0	

DUET ACTING

Classification (Circle) JH 7-9 SH 10-12

School	_Student's Names
Title of Performance	

FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE	COMMENTS
INTERPRETATION — grasp of line meaning — comprehension	(10)	
CHARACTERIZATION - action-reaction - interplay - believability	(20)	
POISE — bodily control action	(10)	
BLOCKING — motivated, balanced movement — energy	(10)	
DICTION — clarity	(10)	
TIMING — tempo/build to climax	(10)	
OVERALL DRAMATIC EFFECT - proficiency of delivery - personal appearance - poise - organization of thought	(10)	
SELECTION - literary value - tasteful - appropriate - judicious editing - difficulty	(10)	
MEMORIZATION	(10)	
Total:	tare minus spira a partie (t.e. milha de rescuencia	Rating:
Time		Judge's Signature

POINT SCALE				POINT TOTALS					
SUPERIOR	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	POOR	1st SUPERIOR	1 RATING	2 RATING	3 RATING	4 RATING	
20-19/10 - 9	19-17/9-8	16-13/7-6	12-0/5-0	100 - 96	95 - 86	85 - 71	70 - 56	55 - 0	

READERS THEATRE

School			Classification	n (Circle) JH 7-9	SH 10-12
Group Name as appeared on Ent	ry Form _				
Title of Performance					
FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE		COMMENT	S	
STAGING - interesting composition - composition clarifies the literature	(10)				
MOVEMENT — posture — purpose — gestures	(10)				
PRESENCE - facial expressions - stage presence - distracting mannerisms	(10)				
ORIGINALITY OF ARRANGEMENT - creative visualization of setting using allowed objects and actors - effective use of group speaking and group movement (if utilized)	(10)				
CHARACTERIZATION - voices - movement - facial expression - intensity	(10)				
QUALITY OF SELECTION — literary value — tasteful/appropriate — judicious editing — difficulty	(10)				
PERFORMANCE — diction — eye contact — distracting elements — word color — attacks and releases	(20)				
MEMORIZATION — recall — hesitation or confusion — need for prompting	(10)				
OVERALL EFFECTIVENESS - delivery - poise/group appearance - organization of thought	(10)				
Total:		Rating:			
Time				Judge's Sig	 nature

POINT SCALE POINT TOTALS

SUPERIOR ABOVE AVERAGE AVERAGE POOR 1st SUPERIOR 1 RATING 2 RATING 3 RATING 4 RATING 19-17/9-8 12-0/5-0 70 - 56 55 - 0 20-19/10 - 9 16-13/7-6 100 - 96 95 - 86 85 - 71

CHORIC SPEAKING

School		JIONIC JI LANI		ssification (Circle) JH 7-9 SH 10-12
Group Name as appeared on Ent	ry Form			
Title of Performance				
FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE		С	OMMENTS
USE OF VOICES — pitch — attacks and releases — pace — vocal variety — word color	(10)			
DICTION — fluency — enunciation — articulation — voice projection — pronunciation — vocal quality	(10)			
MOVEMENT — posture — purpose — gestures	(10)			
PRESENCE — facial expression — stage presence — distracting mannerisms	(10)			
COMMUNICATION — mental rapport with audience — eye contact — mental and emotional grasp of material	(20)			
INTERPRETATION — style — expression — mood — contrast — spiritual or emotional effect	(10)			
QUALITY OF SELECTION — literary value — tasteful/appropriate — judicious editing — difficulty	(10)			
MEMORIZATION — recall — hesitation or confusion — need for prompting	(10)			
OVERALL EFFECTIVENESS — delivery — poise/group appearance — organization of thought	(10)			
Total:		Rating:		,
Time				Judge's Signature

	POINT SCAL		POINT TOTALS					
SUPERIOR	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	POOR	1st SUPERIOR	1 RATING	2 RATING	3 RATING	4 RATING
20-19/10 - 9	19-17/9-8	16-13/7-6	12-0/5-0	100 - 96	95 - 86	85 - 71	70 - 56	55 - 0

20-19/10 - 9 16-13/7-6 12-0/5-0 100 - 96 95 - 86 85 - 71 70 - 56 19-17/9-8

ENSEMBLE ACTING

School	Classification (Circle) JH 7-9 SH 10-12	
Group Name as appeared on Er	ntry Form	
Title of Performance		
FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE	COMMENTS
USE OF VOICES — articulation/pronunciation — projection — vocal variety — appropriate for character	(10)	
INTERPRETATION — grasp of line meaning — characterizations — creation of mood — reaction	(20)	
USE OF BODY - posture - body movement - creativity - facial expression - appropriate for character	(20)	
DEVELOPMENT OF PLOT - tempo - timing - build to climax - satisfying conclusion	(20)	
OVERALL EFFECTIVENESS — poise — intensity	(10)	
SELECTION — meets time limit — difficulty	(10)	
MEMORIZATION — recall — hesitation or confusion — need for prompting	(10)	
Total:	R	ating:
Time		Judge's Signature

	POINT SCAL	POINT TOTALS						
SUPERIOR	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	POOR	1st SUPERIOR	1 RATING	2 RATING	3 RATING	4 RATING
20-19/10 - 9	19-17/9-8	16-13/7-6	12-0/5-0	100 - 96	95 - 86	85 - 71	70 - 56	55 - 0

ART (Circle Category)

Acrylics Calligraphy Colored Pencils Crafts Mixed Media

Oil Painting Pastels Pen & Ink Printmaking Sculpture

Sketching Watercolor Classification (circle) JH 7-9 SH 10-12

School ______ Student's Name _______

Title of art piece ______ Medium______

FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE	COMMENTS	
TECHNIQUE — Is the medium used appropriate? — Is skillfulness displayed? — Is there consistency?	(20)		
ORIGINALITY — does this work possess creativity? — does this work possess individuality? — does this work show imagination?	(20)		
COMPOSITION — is there harmony of composition? — is there visual balance? — is there form and color coordination?	(20)		
COMMUNICATION - does this work reflect both knowledge of and experience with the subject? - does the work portray or convey a message? - does this work repeatedly stimulate or satisfy?	(20)		
Total:		Rating:	

Judge's Signature

POINT SCALE					POINT TOTALS				
	SUPERIOR	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	POOR	1 st Superior	1 RATING	2 RATING	3 RATING	4 RATING
	20-19	19-17	16-13	12-0	80 - 76	75 - 66	65 - 51	50 - 36	35 - 0

WOODWORKING

Classification (circle) JH 7-9 SH 10-12

School		Student's Name	
Title of piece			
FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE		COMMENTS
ORIGINALITY (1-15 points) — Does the preliminary drawing show original design and good planning? — Will the piece function well for its intended use? ———————————————————————————————————	(20)		
CRAFTMANSHIP — Is the general appearance pleasing and well-proportioned? — Is the piece assembled well? (joints fit properly, legs set level on floor, corners square, etc.)	(20)		
DETAILING — Is grain direction properly used to enhance appearance? — Are there design elements that add to the overall aesthetic?	(20)		
FINISH — Is the piece properly sanded? — Is there any residual glue showing? — Is the stain and/or finish properly applied and enhancing to the work?	(20)		
Total:		Rating:	
			ludgo's Signature

Judge's Signature

	POINT SC	ALE			PO	INT TOTA	LS	
SUPERIOR	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	POOR	1 st Superior	1 RATING	2 RATING	3 RATING	4 RATING
20-19	19-17	16-13	12-0	80 - 76	75 - 66	65 - 51	50 - 36	35 - 0

ARTIST'S IDEA STATEMENT-ARTS

Classification (circle) JH 7-9 SH 10-12

material? 2. If applicable, how was the beginning sketch drawn? Was a photograph used as a reference? If yes, it must be attached to this statement. If applicable, is your mold, original carved or etched surface, sketch or pattern included with this entry? 3. Explain the process you used in making your entry. How was your idea developed? Be specific! If printmaking, what type of ink did you use (water-based, oil, etc.); what matrix did you use (wood, linoleum, etc.)? 4. How long did it take to do this artwork? On what date was it completed?	Sc	hool Student's Name
 If applicable, how was the beginning sketch drawn? Was a photograph used as a reference? If yes, it must be attached to this statement. If applicable, is your mold, original carved or etched surface, sketch or pattern included with this entry? Explain the process you used in making your entry. How was your idea developed? Be specific! If printmaking, what type of ink did you use (water-based, oil, etc.); what matrix did you use (wood, linoleum, etc.)? How long did it take to do this artwork? On what date was it completed? What help did you receive from other people (friends, parents, teachers): In planning the piece? while working on the piece? In presenting the piece? STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY I certify that this project is my own original and authentic work and that I received no help in completing this project other than general instruction and supervision. 	Ti	tle of piece
it must be attached to this statement. If applicable, is your mold, original carved or etched surface, sketch or pattern included with this entry? 3. Explain the process you used in making your entry. How was your idea developed? Be specific! If printmaking, what type of ink did you use (water-based, oil, etc.); what matrix did you use (wood, linoleum, etc.)? 4. How long did it take to do this artwork? On what date was it completed? 5. What help did you receive from other people (friends, parents, teachers): a. In planning the piece? b. while working on the piece? c. In presenting the piece? STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY I certify that this project is my own original and authentic work and that I received no help in completing this project other than general instruction and supervision.	1.	
printmaking, what type of ink did you use (water-based, oil, etc.); what matrix did you use (wood, linoleum, etc.)? 4. How long did it take to do this artwork? On what date was it completed? 5. What help did you receive from other people (friends, parents, teachers): a. In planning the piece? b. while working on the piece? c. In presenting the piece? STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY I certify that this project is my own original and authentic work and that I received no help in completing this project other than general instruction and supervision.		it must be attached to this statement. If applicable, is your mold, original carved or etched surface, sketch
5. What help did you receive from other people (friends, parents, teachers): a. In planning the piece? b. while working on the piece? c. In presenting the piece? STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY I certify that this project is my own original and authentic work and that I received no help in completing this project other than general instruction and supervision.	3.	printmaking, what type of ink did you use (water-based, oil, etc.); what matrix did you use (wood, linoleum,
a. In planning the piece? b. while working on the piece? c. In presenting the piece? STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY I certify that this project is my own original and authentic work and that I received no help in completing this project other than general instruction and supervision.	4.	How long did it take to do this artwork? On what date was it completed?
c. In presenting the piece? STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY I certify that this project is my own original and authentic work and that I received no help in completing this project other than general instruction and supervision.	5.	
STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY I certify that this project is my own original and authentic work and that I received no help in completing this project other than general instruction and supervision.		b. while working on the piece?
I certify that this project is my own original and authentic work and that I received no help in completing this project other than general instruction and supervision.		c. In presenting the piece?
Student's Signature Date	I	certify that this project is my own original and authentic work and that I received no help in completing this
		Student's Signature Date

This idea statement must be signed and must attached to one of the three judging forms accompanying the artwork. Three points will be deducted if it is missing or incomplete. Use the back of this sheet if you need more space.

DIGITAL PHOTOGRAPHY

(Circle Categories)

Classification JH 7-9 SH 10-12

Color

B&W

Character Portrait

Scenic

Still Life

Wildlife/Animals

Photo Journalism

School	Student's Name

Title of piece _____

FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE	COMMENTS
ORIGINALITY - Does the composition show original design, creativity, imagination and good planning? - Does the photo show original thought, angle, composition, etc.?	(20)	
CONTENT/TECHNIQUE — Is the subject matter appealing? — Is the composition pleasing and well-balanced? — Is there a center of interest/focus? Creative-Were special lighting, filters, special effects or other techniques/tools used?	(20)	
DETAILS - Focus: Is the center of interest sharp? Is the background muted, blurred or made not to distract? - Contrast: Is there a pleasing contrast of light and dark areas? - Did the student have input in the development and exposure of photo? (refer to Idea Statement) Creative-Do experimental techniques work well?	(20)	
APPEARANCE — Is the piece properly exposed? — Is there sufficient and appropriate lighting? — Is the photo enlarged to an appropriate size to enhance the image justly? — Does this photo command attention? — Digital photos printed properly?	(20)	
Total:		Rating:

Judge's Signature

	POINT SC.	POINT TOTALS						
SUPERIOR	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	POOR	1 st Superior	1 RATING	2 RATING	3 RATING	4 RATING
20-19	19-17	16-13	12-0	80 - 76	75 - 66	65 - 51	50 - 36	35 - 0

ARTIST'S IDEA STATEMENT-PHOTOGRAPHY

Classification (circle) JH 7-9 SH 10-12

School	Student's Na	me						
Title of piece	Cat	egory						
Please provide the appropriate	information:							
Camera Type (circ	tle one)	ISO						
Point and shoot (compact)	Rangefinder	Aperture (F-stop)						
SLR (single lens reflex)	Smart phone camera	Shutter Speed						
1. How or why did you choose yo	our subject? Where did you ge	t the idea?						
2. Did you enhance the lighting,	setting or the subject before	taking the picture? If yes, explain.						
3. When was the image captured?								
4. What help did you receive from a. In planning the piece?	4. What help did you receive from other people (friends, parents, teachers): a. In planning the piece?							
b. while working on the pi	ece?							
5. Were special lighting, filters sp	pecial effects or other techniq	ues/tools used? Explain.						
6. When was the print made?								
7. Did you have input in the deve	lopment and exposure of phot	o? Explain.						
8. Who printed the final image?								
9. Is the 4×6 original copy include	ed with this entry?							
	STATEMENT OF ORIG own original and authentic wo ect other than general instruc	rk and that I received no help in completing this						
Student's Signature		Date						

This idea statement must be signed and must be attached to one of the three judging forms accompanying the artwork. Three points will be deducted if it is missing or incomplete. Use the back of this sheet if you need more space.

TEXTILES: GARMENTS

(Circle Categories)

Classification JH 7-9 SH 10-12

S	no	rts	we	ar
•	\sim		AAC	u

Dresses

Formals

Coats

Coordinates

School	Student's Name

Title of piece _____

FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE	COMMENTS
TECHNIQUE - Was appropriate fabric chosen? - Is skillful construction displayed and consistent? - stitching (machine and hand) - seam finish - zipper, buttonholes or fastenings - sleeve set and detail - collar/neckline detail - hem detail	(20)	
ORIGINALITY/CREATIVITY — Does this work possess creativity - pattern changes, accessory details? — Does this work show imagination?	(20)	
EFFECTIVENESS — Is there harmony of composition? — Is there visual balance? — Is there form and color coordination?	(20)	
 DIFFICULTY Does the garment display knowledge and experience with the construction techniques? Does the garment display appropriate detail work? 	(20)	
Total:		Rating:

Judge's Signature

POINT SCALE				<u> </u>	INI IOIA	_S		
SUPERIOR	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	POOR	1 st Superior	1 RATING	2 RATING	3 RATING	4 RATING
20-19	19-17	16-13	12-0	80 - 76	75 - 66	65 - 51	50 - 36	35 - 0

TEXTILES: NEEDLECRAFT

(Circle Categories)

Classification JH 7-9 SH 10-12

Counted Cross Stitch	Crochet	Crewel	Knitting	
Embroidery	Afghans	Needlepoint	Quilts	
School	Student's	Name		
Title of piece				

FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE	COMMENTS	
TECHNIQUE - Was appropriate fabric chosen? - Is skillful construction displayed and consistent? - stitching (machine and hand) - seam finish - Is the back or inside neatly finished? - Is the item made according to the pattern?	(20)		
ORIGINALITY/CREATIVITY - Does this work possess creativity - pattern changes, accessory details? - Does this work show imagination?	(20)		
EFFECTIVENESS — Is there harmony of composition? — Is there visual balance? — Is there form and color coordination? — Is item pleasant to look at?	(20)		
DIFFICULTY — Does the garment display knowledge and experience with the construction techniques? — Does the garment display appropriate detail work?	(20)		
Total:		Rating:	

Judge's Signature

POINT SCALE				POINT TOTALS					
	SUPERIOR	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	POOR	1 st Superior	1 RATING	2 RATING	3 RATING	4 RATING
	20-19	19-17	16-13	12-0	80 - 76	75 - 66	65 - 51	50 - 36	35 - 0

ARTIST'S IDEA STATEMENT-TEXTILES

Classification (circle) JH 7-9 SH 10-12

School	Student's Name
Title of piece	Category
1. Briefly explain the process you used i	n making your entry. How was your idea developed?
2. How long did it take to do this entry?	Date started, completed?
3. What help did you receive from other a. In planning the piece?	people (friends, parents, teachers):
b. while working on the piece?	
4. Is the pattern included with this entry	?
5. Briefly explain any alterations to patte	rn or garment:
6. For garments, did you include two pic	cures of the garment being worn? A front and back picture is required.
	STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY ginal and authentic work and that I received no help in completing this er than general instruction and supervision.
Student's Signature	Date

This idea statement must be signed and must be attached to one of the three judging forms accompanying the artwork. Three points will be deducted if it is missing or incomplete. Use the back of this sheet if you need more space.

ART - MULTIMEDIA

chool		Student's Name	
tle of piece		Medium	1
FACTORS EVALUATED	SCORE		COMMENTS
TECHNIQUE — Is the presentation used appropriately? — Is skillfulness displayed? — Is there consistency?	(20)		
ORIGINALITY - does this presentation possess creativity? - does this presentation show imagination? - Does this presentation reflect both knowledge of and experience with the subject?	(20)		
EFFECTIVENESS — is there harmony of composition? — is there visual balance?	(20)		
COMMUNICATION — does the presentation portray or convey a message? — does this presentation repeatedly stimulate or satisfy?	(20)		
Total:		Rating:	
			Judge's Signature

POINT SCALE			POINT TOTALS					
SUPERIOR	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	POOR	1 st Superior	1 RATING	2 RATING	3 RATING	4 RATING
20-19	19-17	16-13	12-0	80 - 76	75 - 66	65 - 51	50 - 36	35 - 0

ARTIST'S IDEA STATEMENT - MULTIMEDIA

Classification (circle) JH 7-9 SH 10-12

School	Student's Name
Title of piece	Category
1. How or why did you choose your sub	ject? Where did you get the idea?
2. What devices did you use in recording	and collecting data?
3. Briefly explain the process you used in	n making your entry. How was your idea developed?
4. How long did it take to do this entry?	Date started, completed?
5. What help did you receive from other a. In planning the piece?b. while working on the piece?	people (friends, parents, teachers):
6. List any credits that need to be given	for video clips or pictures that are not yours:
	STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY ginal and authentic work and that I received no help in completing this er than general instruction and supervision. Date

This idea statement must be signed and must be attached to one of the three judging forms accompanying the artwork. Three points will be deducted if it is missing or incomplete. Use the back of this sheet if you need more space.